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# Executive Summary

Rascal’s mission is to demonstrate proximity operations within a small satellite architecture, including stationkeeping, “Escape”, and rendezvous.
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# Program Introduction

Rascal is a spacecraft mission that seeks to demonstrate the performance of in-orbit proximity operations within a small spacecraft architecture. Proximity operations are defined as the performance of orbital maneuvers, such as Stationkeeping, Rendezvous, and Collision Avoidance, relative to a resident space object (As Defined in Table 1-1).

Table 1-1. Key Proximity Operations Definitions

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Proximity Operation Terms | Definition |
| Stationkeeping | Maintaining a set relative displacement between two space objects for a period of several orbits |
| Collision Avoidance | Performing an orbital maneuver that increases the relative displacement between two space objects, as to avoid on-orbit collisions and potential orbital debris creation. |
| Rendezvous | Performing an orbital maneuver that decreases the relative displacement between two space objects within a set distance for a period of several orbits. |
| Resident Space Object | Any satellite or object residing in space |

Proximity operations have been designated by the NASA Innovative Advanced Concepts (NSPIRES) program as one of many transformative ideas that will help enable new aeronautics and space systems capabilities[[1]](#footnote-1). If successful in demonstrating the performance of such operations, Rascal would act as a stepping stone to future development and refinement of the technologies and processes involved with the performance of proximity operations, potentially leading to the creation of small satellites that are capable of inspecting, or even repairing, damaged satellites or crew capsules, saving millions of dollars and man hours associated with the replacement of said systems that would normally have no cost-effective means of being repaired in-orbit.

This document serves to elaborate on the relevance and feasibility of proximity operations demonstrations for small spacecraft from historical, analytical, and operational perspectives, as well as outline the mission requirements, success criteria, and design flow-downs for the Rascal mission itself.
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# Requirements Verification

## Rationale and Taxonomy

## Requirements Verification Matrix

| **Requirement** | **Verification Method(s)** | | | | **Rationale** | **Verification Artifact** | **Requirement Designation** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Test** | **Analysis** | **Demo** | **Examine** |
| Primary Requirements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The spacecraft must be capable of demonstrating station keeping within a 50 meter sphere of a resident space object for at least 5 orbits |  |  |  |  | RFP Requirement |  | RCL-RFP1 |
| The spacecraft must be capable of demonstrating an "Escape" maneuver by intentionally increasing the relative distance between it and a relative space object to at least 100 meters within one orbit |  |  |  |  | RFP Requirement |  | RCL-RFP2 |
| The spacecraft shall be capable of demonstrating rendezvous with by intentionally reducing the distance between it and a resident space object to at most 50 meters for at least 5 orbits |  |  |  |  | RFP Requirement |  | RCL-RFP3 |
| A method of verifying the successful completion of each mission requirement shall be incorporated into the spacecraft design and mission operations procedures |  |  |  |  | Mission Operations Requirement |  | RCL-MOP1 |
| The spacecraft mission shall be executed within 6 months of spacecraft launch |  |  |  |  | Mission Operations Requirement |  | RCL-MOP2 |
| Primary Sub-Requirements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The spacecraft must be capable of determining relative distance between it and a resident space object |  |  |  |  |  |  | RCL-MOP1-1 |
| The spacecraft must be capable of recording relative distance between it and a resident space object |  |  |  |  |  |  | RCL-MOP1-2 |
| The spacecraft must be capable of relaying relative distance between it and a resident space object to the ground |  |  |  |  |  |  | RCL-MOP1-3 |
| The spacecraft will utilize a Structures Subsystem |  |  |  |  |  |  | RCL-STR |
| The spacecraft will utilize a Propulsion Subsystem |  |  |  |  |  |  | RCL-RFP-PRP |
| The spacecraft will utilize a Power Subsystem |  |  |  |  |  |  | RCL-MOP2-PWR |
| The spacecraft will utilize an Attitude Determination and Control Subsystem |  |  |  |  |  |  | RCL-MOP1-ADC |
| The spacecraft will utilize a Command and Data Handling Subsystem |  |  |  |  |  |  | RCL-MOP1-CDH |
| The spacecraft will utilize a Communications Subsystem |  |  |  |  |  |  | RCL-MOP1-COM |
| Structures Requirements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The spacecraft will utilize the CubeSat standard architecture |  |  |  |  |  |  | RCL-STR-1 |
| The mission will consist of two identical spacecraft |  |  |  |  |  |  | RCL-STR-2 |
| The two spacecraft must be able to integrate into the same dispenser |  |  |  |  |  |  | RCL-STR-3 |
| The two spacecraft will be conjoined for integration into dispenser |  |  |  |  |  |  | RCL-STR-4 |
| The two spacecraft will be capable of separating in orbit |  |  |  |  |  |  | RCL-STR-5 |
| Power Requirements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The power subsystem will utilize an Electrical Power System to manager power distribution to each subsystem of the spacecraft |  |  |  |  |  |  | RCL-MOP2-PWR1 |
| The power subsystem will utilize a rechargeable battery to store power for each subsystem of the spacecraft |  |  |  |  |  |  | RCL-MOP2-PWR2 |
| The power subsystem will utilize solar panels to generate a sufficient amount of power to compensate for the energy consumption of each subsystem of the spacecraft |  |  |  |  |  |  | RCL-MOP2-PWR3 |
| A power budget will be created to ensure a net positive power margin per orbit |  |  |  |  |  |  | RCL-MOP2-PWR4 |
| Attitude Determination and Control Requirements | | | | | | | |
| The ADC subsystem will be capable of autonomously commanding the propulsion system to perform the orbital maneuvers associated with the RFP requirements |  |  |  |  |  |  | RCL-MOP1-ADC1 |
| Propulsion Requirements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The propulsion subsystem will be capable of executing orbital maneuvers issued to it from the ADC subsystem |  |  |  |  |  |  | RCL-RFP-PRP1 |
| Communications Requirements | | | | | | | |
| The communication subsystem will utilize a radio for transmitting data to the ground |  |  |  |  |  |  | RCL-MOP1-COM1 |
| A link budget will be created that ensures that the power level, frequency, and altitudes over which the spacecraft transmits data are sufficient to produce a signal to noise ratio on the ground that is greater than one |  |  |  |  |  |  | RCL-MOP1-COM2 |
| Command and Data Handling Requirements | | | | | | | |
| The Command and Data Handling subsystem will be capable of managing the operation of each subsystem of the spacecraft, as well as the communication of data between said subsystems |  |  |  |  |  |  | RCL-MOP1-CDH |
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